UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,973	09/18/2003	Quang Tran	006701.P035	5340	
	7590 07/07/200 KOLOFF TAYLOR &	EXAMINER			
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			DIXON, ANNETTE FREDRICKA		
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3771		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/665,97	3	TRAN ET AL.				
Οπισε Αστ	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Annette F	Dixon	3771				
The MAILING E Period for Reply	PATE of this communicat	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHICHEVER IS LON  - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from  - If NO period for reply is spec  - Failure to reply within the set	FUTORY PERIOD FOR GER, FROM THE MAIL vailable under the provisions of 37 the mailing date of this communicified above, the maximum statuto to or extended period for reply will, if fice later than three months after the tent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THE CERT 1.136(a). In no ever ation.  Try period will apply and will by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to a	communication(s) filed o	n 29 Anril 2009						
2a) This action is <b>FI</b>	_	∏ This action is n	on-final					
´ <del>=</del>	/-			secution as to the	e merits is			
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	antee mar are praetice t	arraor ex parto qu	ay,o, 1000 0. <b>2</b> . 11, 10	30 0. <b>3</b> . 210.				
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-35,</u>	☑ Claim(s) <u>28-35,37-44 and 55-58</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-35,</u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-35,37-44 and 55-58</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	equirement.					
Application Papers								
	n is objected to by the Ex	vaminer						
•	-		ccented or h)\ ohiec	ted to by the Exa	miner			
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 September 2003</u> is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☑ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
					ED 1 121/d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cite 2)   Notice of Draftsperson's F 3)   Information Disclosure Standard Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-tatement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3771

# **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to the request for continued examination filed on April 29, 2009. Examiner acknowledges claims 28-35, 37-44, and 55-58 are pending in this application, with claims 28-30 and 43 having been currently amended, claims 55-58 having been newly added, and claims 1-27, 36, and 45-54 having been cancelled.

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 29, 2009 has been entered.

# **Drawings**

- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "50" has been used to designate both the coil and the distal segment (Paragraph 0044).
- 4. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).
- 5. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended

Art Unit: 3771

replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Throughout the entirety of the instant specification, Applicant has identified U.S. Patent Application No. 09/644,277; however, the aforementioned application has issued. Applicant is advised to update the patent application number with the appropriate patent number. Appropriate correction is required.

On page 2, paragraph 0005, Applicant refers to the document "PCT Patent Application No. 99/15116" and on page 11, paragraph 0040, Applicant refers to the document "PCT Publication No. WO 99/15116". Examiner suspects these documents are the same; however, the identification of these documents is not consistent.

Applicant is advised to consistently refer to the same document through out the entirety of the instant specification. Appropriate correction is required.

7. The use of the trademark Teflon® has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Art Unit: 3771

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Applicant is advised to provide the generic terminology of the trademark Teflon®. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 28-35, 37-44, and 56-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saadat et al. (5,935,137) in view of Berhow et al. (2003/0135198).

As to Claim 28, Saadat disclose a system for delivering a contraceptive device (50) within a fallopian tube (Figure 2), the system comprising: a catheter (100) comprising a lumen (110), a distal portion (107) and a proximal portion (101), a contraceptive device (50) releasably disposed at least partially within the lumen (110) of the catheter (100) near the distal portion (107); and a deployment member (120) in detachable engagement with the contraception device (50) for deploying the contraceptive device (50) from the catheter (100) (Figure 11, Figure 13, and Column 10, Lines 30-31). Yet, Saadat does not teach the particulars of the catheter having a coil disposed along the catheter body and extending along the distal and proximal portions,

nor the varying degrees of flexibility. Berhow teaches a medical catheter (10) having a coil (16) which extends along the length of the catheter from the distal end (13) to the proximal end (12) where along the catheter (10) the flexibility of the changes for the purpose of having a reinforced catheter with resistance to kinking that may result in trauma to the patient (Figures 1-4A, Paragraphs 0061 and 0071). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the catheter of Saadat to include a coil having varying degrees of flexibility along the length of the catheter as taught by Berhow to provide a reinforced catheter having additional safety features to prevent patient trauma as a result of kinking.

As to Claims 29, 37, 38, 56 Berhow teaches the medical catheter is most flexible (or least hard) at the distal end and increasingly becomes less flexible (or more hard) towards the proximal end (12). (Paragraph 0061).

As to Claim 30, Berhow teaches the catheter (10) is made from multiple layers in the distal portion (13) and having a coil (16) in one of the layers. (Figures 1-3 and Paragraphs 0038 and 0051).

As to Claims 31-35 and 39-42, Berhow teaches the multiple layers comprise: an inner layer (14, polytetrafluroethylene), a middle layer (16, stainless steel coil) and an outer layer (17, polyurethane). (Figures 1-3 and Paragraphs 0019, 0021, 0024, 0038, and 0051).

As to Claims 43, 44, and 58, Berhow teaches the use of a radiopaque marker (19) or tracer ring on the catheter (10) to permit visualization of the catheter within the patient. (Paragraphs 0058 and 0059).

Art Unit: 3771

As to Claim 57, Berhow teaches a tapered region (32) and a lumen (15) that extends throughout the catheter (10). (Paragraph 0038).

10. Claim 55 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saadat et al. (5,935,137) in view of Berhow et al. (2003/0135198), as applied to claim 28, and further in view of Shepherd (3,566,874).

As to Claim 55, the system of Saadat / Berhow teaches a reinforced medical catheter for delivering a contraceptive device, yet does not expressly disclose the use of a hydrophilic coating over the distal portion of the catheter. Shepherd teaches the use a hydrophilic coating over the distal end of the catheter for the purpose of reducing the irritation and infection associated with the normal use of catheters. (Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Saadat/Berhow to include a hydrophilic coating over the catheter as taught by Shepherd for the purpose of preventing infection.

# Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 28-35, 37-44, and 55-58 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Art Unit: 3771

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gosiengfiao (7,354,428) and von Hoffmann (7,309,334) discloses an additional catheter device having a coil disposed along the catheter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette F. Dixon whose telephone number is (571) 272-3392. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Justine R Yu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3771 Annette F Dixon Examiner Art Unit 3771

/Annette F Dixon/ Examiner, Art Unit 3771 Application/Control Number: 10/665,973

Page 8

Art Unit: 3771